

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION**

Patrick W. Griffin,

Case No. 1:17CV917

Petitioner

v.

ORDER

Alan J. Lazaroff, Warden,

Respondent

This is a state prisoner's habeas corpus case under 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

Pending is Magistrate Judge Ruiz's Report and Recommendation, which recommends that I deny the petition because the petitioner procedurally defaulted his claims. (Doc. 30).

The Magistrate Judge notified the parties that any objections to the R&R were due within fourteen days after the filing of his R&R, which occurred on July 31, 2019. Because the petitioner did not file an objection, he forfeited his right to de novo review of the R&R. *Smith v. Detroit Fed'n of Teachers Local 231*, 829 F.2d 1370, 1373 (6th Cir. 1987). I need only "satisfy [myself] that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Bogan v. Morgan*, 2012 WL 3776514, *1 (N.D. Ohio 2012) (Gaghan, J.).

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's R&R, I am satisfied that it properly disposes of this case on procedural default grounds.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED THAT:

1. The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 30) be, and the same hereby is, adopted as the order of the court.

2. The petition for a writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 1) be, and the same hereby is,
denied.

3. No certificate of appealability will issue.

So ordered.

/s/ James G. Carr
Sr. U.S. District Judge